

REMARKS

This communication is a full and timely response to the aforementioned non-final Office Action dated November 22, 2005. By this communication, claim 14 has been amended. Support for the subject matter added to claim 14 can be found variously throughout the Specification, for example, in paragraphs 2 and 20. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101

Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as allegedly being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. However, in an effort to expedite prosecution claim 14 has been amended to recite means for producing an outward signal based on the overall solution stream of data. By this amendment, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 14 clearly defines a machine or system that produces a tangible result. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. §101 be withdrawn, and this claim be allowed.

Allowed Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for acknowledging that claims 1-13 and 15-20 are allowed. Based on the amendment to claim 14 and the above discussion, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 14 is also allowable. Accordingly, this application is in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

Based on at least the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that claims 1-20 are allowable, and this application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant requests a favorable examination and consideration of the instant application. In the event the instant application can be placed in even better form, Applicant requests that the undersigned attorney be contacted at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC

Date: February 21, 2006

By: 

Shawn B. Cage

Registration No. 51,522

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620